

Bitig Türkoloji Araştırmaları Dergisi, Güz 2021 / 2: 1-9.

# "Useless" seems to be the hardest word Two Sogdian loanwords in Old Uyghur\*

## Jens WILKENS\*\*

Abstract: The amount of loanwords in Old Uyghur horrowed from various languages is considerable. Since Uyghur Manichaeism was largely dependent on Iranian Manichaean literary traditions, Manichaean technical terms horrowed from Middle Iranian languages abound in Old Uyghur. The article traces for the first time the etymology of two Old Uyghur words horrowed from Sogdian that do not belong to the religious vocabulary of Manichaeism. All examples are found in Uyghur Buddhist texts. The Old Uyghur words show a broader semantic spectrum than their Sogdian counterparts.

Keywords: Old Uyghur, Sogdian, language contact, Buddhism.

# "Yararsız" En Zor Kelime Gibi Görünüyor Eski Uygurcada Soğudca İki Alıntı Kelime

Özet: Eski Uygurcada çeşitli dillerden alıntılanmış ödünçleme kelimelerin sayısı oldukça fazladır. Uygur Manihaizmi büyük ölçüde İran Manihaist edebî geleneğine bağlı olduğundan, Eski Uygurcada Orta İran dillerinden ödünçlenmiş olan sayısız Manihaist teknik terim bulunmaktadır. Bu makale, Soğudcadan ödünçlenen ve Manihaizm'in dinî söz varlığına ait olmayan Eski Uygurca iki kelimenin etimolojisinin izini ilk kez sürmektedir. Bütün örnekler Uygur Budist metinlerinde bulunmaktadır. Eski Uygurca bu kelimeler Soğudca karşılıklarından daha geniş bir anlam yelpazesi göstermektedir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Eski Uygurca, Soğudca, dil ilişkisi, Budizm.

### 1. Introduction

The number of publications making Old Uyghur materials accessible in editions has increased remarkably in recent years. These newly edited texts have greatly enhanced our knowledge of this ancient language that was an important vernacular in the Tarim Basin, the Gansu Corridor and adjacent areas in medieval times. Language

<sup>\*</sup> For suggestions I would like to thank Marcus Bingenheimer (Philadelphia), Hans Nugteren (Göttingen), Nicholas Sims-Williams (London), and Peter Zieme (Neuruppin).

<sup>\*\*</sup> PhD, Academy of Sciences and Humanities, Göttingen (Germany). E-mail: jens.wilkens@phil.uni-goettingen.de/ ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5110-0533.

contact on various levels was a significant factor for speakers of Old Uyghur over many centuries. The proportion of loanwords that were borrowed at different stages from other languages is very high. But a not insignificant number of Old Uyghur lexemes has so far eluded etymological explanation. The article aims to elucidate the etymological origin of two semantically closely related words that are sometimes attested together and proposes two new Sogdian etymologies for them.<sup>1</sup>

#### 2. The first loanword

The first word to be discussed occurs in various spellings although it is not particularly frequently attested. All editors and scholars relying in their work on the editions have so far transcribed the word with an initial *b*-. Until now the vocalization has been regarded as uncertain due to the variants.<sup>2</sup> Among several works of secondary literature we can quote the *Uigurisches Wörterbuch* as an example which supplies a letter *u* in brackets when the vowel of the first syllable is not spelled out.<sup>3</sup> However, a variant with this particular vocalization has not turned up so far.<sup>4</sup> All occurrences are found in texts from the late classical period of Old Uyghur (ca. 12th century) until the era of the Yuan Dynasty (13th–14th centuries). In early translations the word is not encountered at all. All sources in which the lexical item occurs are Buddhist in content. Before explaining the etymological origin of the word, I am going to give it in bold letters in transliteration while reviewing all recorded occurrences.

Very interesting is the first example from the *Kšanti kılguluk nom bitig*, a translation of the Chinese 慈悲道場懺法 *Cibei daochang chanfa*, because six semantically closely related words occur in adverbial position, one of which, *šu*, is of Chinese origin:<sup>5</sup>

(01) munuŋ ätözi šu yoksuz ÓYRY<sup>6</sup> asıgsız tususuz PD'TY ölti "his body died uselessly<sub>6</sub>" (Wilkens, 2007/1: 90, lines 0609–0610).

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the text examples square brackets [ ] are used when damaged parts in the manuscripts are restored. Parentheses ( ) denote vowels not written in the manuscripts. Angle brackets <> supply letters to be expected but left out by the scribe. Braces { } indicate (a) superfluous letter(s) in the MS. In the translations parentheses are used to supply the text with extra information for the sake of clarity. In the translations a subscript number refers to words with (nearly) identical semantics. A letter t stands for < in the MS when from an etymological point of view t is expected, while t represents t in the MS when in classical Old Uyghur we usually find t. And finally, the letter t transcribes the spelling with t when it corresponds to t in texts without confusion of dentals and sibilants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Temir, Kudara & Röhrborn, 1984: 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Röhrborn, 2015: 301 s.v. asıgsız.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Perhaps the author thought of a Sogdian loanword, too. For the Sogdian prefix (')pw see §§ 1164–1166 in Gershevitch, 1954: 176. However, this is a prefix different from the one postulated below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> On Chinese 輸 *shu* as the etymon of Old Uyghur *šu* see Wilkens, 2007/1: 91, note to line 0609. <sup>6</sup> This word, for which a new etymology is proposed below, has hitherto been read as *kırı*. I give it provisionally in transliteration here before suggesting a new transcription after the discussion presented below.

#### "USELESS" SEEMS TO BE THE HARDEST WORD TWO SOGDIAN LOANWORDS IN OLD UYGHUR

All six (quasi-)synonyms render only one Chinese character, namely 徒 tu 'in vain, futilely'. There is another instance in the Kšanti kılguluk nom bitig, also in adverbial position but combined with another (quasi-)synonym:

(02) kamag adın b(ä)k bukagulukta yazoksuz PT'DY solalmıš b(ä)klälmiš busušlug kadgulug ämgäklig sıkıglıglar "all the other suffering and grieving people who are innocently and unlawfully fettered and bound in an everlasting prison" (Wilkens, 2007/1: 242, lines 3120–3123).

In this phrase, *yazoksuz PT'DY* renders Chinese 徒 *tu*. Because of the combination with *yazoksuz PT'DY* cannot be translated accurately as 'in vain, futilely' but rather as 'unlawfully, illegitimately'. This specific meaning is not attested elsewhere but it reflects the semantics of the borrowed lexical item discussed below.

Another example has come up recently in the context of a text displaying the feature of strophic alliteration (German 'Stabreim'). Unlike the examples quoted above the poetic section from which the following quotation is taken is most likely not a translation from Chinese but rather an Old Uyghur original work:

(03) [artt1] kılmagu PYD'TY alp ärür yalŋok ašunı "difficult (to obtain) is human existence (but deeds leading to it) are absolutely not done in vain" (Zhang & Zieme, 2019: 201, lines 10–11).

Peter Zieme recently published a poem in strophic alliteration in which stanza IV shows rhymes with words beginning in  $p \sim b$ . This poetic text hails from the Mongol period:

(04) piraṭya bilgä biligi äŋilmiš bilgä p(a)nditlarnıŋ nomların biligsizi kücädmiš becinkäyä PYT'DY öṭgünmiš täg täginür "it is as if the little monkey whose ignorance was strong pointlessly parroted the doctrines of the wise<sub>2</sub> whose wisdom<sub>2</sub> was crooked<sup>7</sup>" (Zieme, 2019: 43, lines 04–06).

The most recent example is from the Old Uyghur translation of the *Avatamsakasūtra* in 80 volumes, which is also a translation from Chinese:

(05) alku yertinčütäkilärkä sävä taplayu körgülük bolmaklarınta kördäči tınl(ı)glar QYRY PYT'DY asıgsı<z> ärtmädin tüzügün bir täg turulmak yavalmakka tägdilär "because they are a pleasure<sub>2</sub> to behold to those living in all the worlds, the beings who perceive (them) all attain as one<sub>2</sub> tranquility<sub>2</sub> without living (their lives) in vain<sub>3</sub>" (Yakup, 2021: 94, lines A0627–0629).

The adverb consisting of three semantically similar words (QYRY PYT'DY asigsiz) translates the single Chinese character  $\stackrel{\triangle}{=} xu$ . (The first Old Uyghur word, here also given only in transliteration, is discussed below.) Two texts in strophic alliteration show similar phrases in a different word order:

(06) amti bo kılmıš išimni asıgsız PYD'TY kılmanlar "now do not let the deed I have done be futile2" (Zieme, 1985: 46, text 3:41–42).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> As the verb is spelled 'NKL'-, a reading *aŋla*- is not excluded.

(07) asıgsız PYT'DY üd kün käčürmäkim üzä "given the fact that I let hours and days go by uselessly<sub>2</sub> ..." (Zieme, 1985: 98, text 13:99).

An example similar to no. 07 is a passage from the *Abitake* in which *PYT'DY* occurs as an adverb modifying the verb *käčür*-:

(08) ... näŋ kim bo bir ažunug<sup>8</sup> PYT'DY<sup>9</sup> käčürüp ken yänä ök{r}imčlüg bolmazunlar tep "... so that they do not let this one existence (as a human being) pass by in vain and later on be regretful" (Temir, Kudara & Röhrborn, 1984: 19, lines 17–19)

In their commentary the editors quote from two Abhidharma texts the examples yoksuz PYD TY urmak üzü keŋ savig "by uselessly² using rambling words" and yooksuz PDTY ~ yoksuz PDTY and add that the etymology is unclear (Temir, Kudara & Röhrborn, 1984: 25).

Another example from a text in strophic alliteration, which is damaged at the end, has the combination *QYRY PYT'DY*:

(09) kılmıš kılınčım(ı)znı ökünmädin kıy äv sayu yorırbiz QYRY PYT 'DY yertinčü /// "without repenting the deeds we have done we walk from street to street and from house to house. Uselessly2 ... world ///" (Zieme, 1985: 111, text 16:43). 10

The next example is highly difficult to understand. The translation offered here is only preliminary:

(10) ikinti munny<sup>11</sup> atı ol ögräţintäči ätözüg asıgsız kıldačı savlarta uţunlarnıŋ törösindä PYT'DY<sup>12</sup> tägintürdäčitä asıgsız tususuz esilmäk<sup>13</sup> korulmak "Second: its designation is as follows: (it is) the (person) who practices and (thereby) makes the body useless in (all) matters, (namely practice which relies) on the teaching of the shameless ones and on those who let (other people) attain (the human body) in vain, (which is therefore) useless<sub>2</sub> (and equivalent with) harm<sub>2</sub>" (Zhang, 2001: 39, lines 55–56).<sup>14</sup>

The word under discussion again renders Chinese  $\notin tu$ . The punctuation of the Chinese original found in the Taishō edition differs from the one the Uyghur translator

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The editors read *ažunig* with the penultimate letter marked as uncertain. The MS is slightly damaged, so we can supply the expected labial vowel here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The transliteration in the commentary on p. 25 (PYTDY) is a typo.

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  As all other examples point to an adverbial usage of the word under discussion, a similar interpretation is to be assumed for this sentence. Thus QYRY PYT DY is in all likelihood not an attribute of  $yertin\check{c}\ddot{u}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Reading not certain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Read bosti in the edition. Zhang (2001: 45) assumes in his commentary an adverbial formation derived by the suffix +tI from bos 'free' and compares  $\ddot{a}dg\ddot{u}ti$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Read *äzilmäk* in the edition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The edition standardizes the spellings. I indicate the instances of confusion of dentals.

#### "USELESS" SEEMS TO BE THE HARDEST WORD TWO SOGDIAN LOANWORDS IN OLD UYGHUR

had in his source text. The Chinese parallel is from the beginning of sūtra 127 in T. 100. The corresponding characters are in bold letters:<sup>15</sup>

此世間中多有眾生,依二種法:一、貪欲樂,二名習於無益身事,非聖 **之法,徒受無益損减**。習於欲樂,是名下賤、繫累之法。(T. 100, p. 421c)

Since the exact interpretation of the Chinese original still presents some difficulties I will abstain from offering one here. The Uyghur translator understood 徒 tu as being an adverb to 受 shou and chose PYT'DY as a translation, as we have already seen in other examples.

The word in question appears often in texts translated from Chinese and is usually combined with (quasi-)synonyms. Looking for a suitable candidate to explain the etymology of the Old Uyghur lexeme, the privative (')p(') from Old Iranian apadiscussed in Gershevitch (1954: 176, § 1163) can be considered the most likely starting point from a semantic as well as from a morphological angle. Gershevitch points to the Christian Sogdian adjective pd'ty 'unrighteous, unlawful' and the adverb (')pδ'ty in Buddhist Sogdian. <sup>16</sup> He also refers to the Christian compound term pd'tqry 'unjust' and compares already Modern Persian balād. Sims-Williams and Durkin-Meisterernst (2012: 137a) give the translation "injustice" for  $p\delta'ty$ . Sims-Williams (1985: 219b) translates Christian Sogdian pd't-q'ry<sup>17</sup> with "doer of iniquity, wrongdoer" and pd'tv with "iniquity". 18

Manichaean Sogdian has many etymologically related words without a privative prefix:  $\delta' t' y k'$  'just',  $\delta' t \beta r'$  'judge',  $\delta' t c y k'$  'lawful, concerning the law'  $\delta' t k r y'$  'lawmaker, judge', δ'tnm'n 'judging justly'. Nicholas Sims-Williams kindly refers me to the hapax  $\delta' t p \delta' t$  'for good or for ill, willy-nilly' in the tale of the two snakes from the Sogdian Azandnāmē (Benkato, 2017: 82, line 153). The sentence runs as follows:  $p'rZ-Y\delta't$   $p\delta't$   $xy\delta$   $pwsk\beta ty$   $xy\delta$   $r'\delta$  xrt(y)[yw'] rty xw 'y $\delta cw$ 'z-w'rty knph L' wm't, translated by the editor as "But for good or for ill, without protest, it was necessary to go that way, and in no way was there the means of turning back" (Benkato, 2017: 83). The literal meaning of the adverbial phrase is 'rightly-wrongly' (Benkato, 2017: 92). In his commentary Benkato quotes the form  $p\delta'ty$  ('unhappily') with the adverbial -y from the Buddhist Vessantarajātaka.

The quoted Sogdian words are etymologically connected with Old and Younger Avestan as well as Old Persian data- 'law'. 20 Semantically, the Old and Middle

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> I am grateful to Marcus Bingenheimer (Philadelphia) who shared his thoughts on the punctuation and translation of this difficult passage with me. The punctuation follows his suggestion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See also Gharib 2004, 49b (no. 1260), 271b (no. 6770): "unlawful, illicit, uniquity".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> In the manuscript in the direct plural spelled wpd't-gryt (104R18) (Sims-Williams, 1985: 172).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Cf. also his translation of the phrase pd'ty 't bžnq'ry' (40V6) as "unrighteousness and wickedness" (Sims-Williams, 1985: 81).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Cf. also Christian Sogdian d'tbr (Sims-Williams, 1985: 209b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Schmitt, 1994. For Khotanese dāta- "placed, established; law" and further etymologically related words in Iranian languages see Bailey, 1979: 156a.

Iranian terms are rather restricted since most of them belong to the sphere of law. (We have seen that only in example no. 02 the Old Uyghur lexeme displays similar semantics.) Recently Pavel Lurje and Ilya Yakubovich reviewed Walter Bruno Henning's list of Sogdian loanwords in Early New Persian, among which we encounter balād ~ balāda ~ balāya 'contemptible, corrupted, perverted' (< Sogdian (') $p\delta$ 'ty) mentioned already by Gershevitch.<sup>21</sup> Etymologically related is Bactrian αβηλαδο 'unlawful' which appears in documents (e.g., in Sims-Williams & la Vaissière, 2011: 42, lines Uv12 and Uv20 [twice]). The Bactrian manuscript in Manichaean script provides us with the noun 'βyl'd 'iniquity' (Sims-Williams, 2009: 261a). Furthermore, the Middle Iranian loan apirat ('wicked, iniquitous, useless, unfit' < Middle Persian 'pyd't) in Armenian should be mentioned (Meyer, 2017: 46, footnote 95). Kurdish has bêdad 'unlawful, cruel' and bêdadî 'injustice'. We can thus expect that the range of meanings was already somewhat broader in Sogdian than the examples in the edited texts with the exception of the *Vessantarajātaka* ('unhappily') would make us believe. For a similar semantic spectrum see Latin inīquus ranging from 'unjust, unfair, unequal, uneven' to 'unfavorable, disadvantageous, unsuitable' etc.

How can we update the transcription of the Old Uyghur word under discussion? The 'defective' spellings in the first syllable reflect the Sogdian origin perfectly and the vowels that are sometimes inserted in Old Uyghur texts are merely epenthetic to avoid the – for speakers of a Turkic language – unfamiliar double consonant cluster. Considering the etymology a reading with an initial p- is preferable. Although Gharib's Sogdian dictionary (2004: 271b, no. 6770) has the transcription  $pa\delta\bar{a}t\bar{e}$ , other scholars transcribe the adverbial -y in a different way. Yoshida (2009: 294), for his part, assumes a long  $-\bar{i}$ . This leads us to an updated transcription p(a)dati for the Old Uyghur word, which is never spelled with an initial Aleph.

## 3. The second loanword

Now that p(a)dati can be identified as a Sogdian loan, the semantically related word transliterated above as QYRY in example 01 and QYRY in examples 05 and 09 too presents itself as a possible loanword. The single diacritical dot in QYRY as found in the  $K\bar{s}anti$   $k_1lguluk$  nom bitig already points to a word beginning with /h/. Thus the transcription  $k_1lr_1$  in the edition is highly questionable and should be corrected. Two further instances with a single diacritical dot are found in the same text:  $^{23}$ 

(11) bo bir ažunta yoksuzın [kurugsuzı] $n^{24}$  QYRY yorıp ärtürmägülük ol "one should not let (human existence) pass by uselessly<sub>3</sub> in this one life" (Wilkens, 2007/1: 96, lines 0684–0685)

6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Lurje & Yakubovich, 2017: 324.

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$  A supposed form \*kur1 cannot be derived from kur2 "to scrape" (a fossilized aorist) because we find the aorist kur2 in Yakup, 2021: 366, line E109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> But note that in line 0742 (Wilkens, 2007/1: 100) back vocalic g is also represented by q with a single diacritic (in *asigi*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> At the end of the lacuna the remnants of a single character are visible. The traces cannot be interpreted as being a letter  $\langle y \rangle$  so it is impossible to restore p(a)dati here. Because *yoksuz kurugsuz* is found quite often as a binomial the latter is restored here.

#### "USELESS" SEEMS TO BE THE HARDEST WORD TWO SOGDIAN LOANWORDS IN OLD UYGHUR

(12) *QYRY luvlan ärür ärmäz temäk üzä* "by saying it is useless<sub>2</sub> and uncertain (lit. existing and non-existing)" (Wilkens, 2007/1: 100, line 0741)

The etymology of *luvlan* in example (12) is still unexplained. The corresponding Chinese text of the whole phrase as quoted in example (12) has 空搆是非 *kong gou shi fei*. Thus *QYRY luvlan* translates the first character 空 *kong* ('empty, void, without substance').

Peter Zieme (1985: 111) has already pointed out in a note to line 43 of his poetic text no. 16 that a phrase in the *Altun Yaruk Sudur* should be compared. This is actually the only instance where the word is used as a substantive:

(13) *ilinčü mäŋi oyun QYRY*<sup>25</sup> *ugrınta* "because of enjoyment<sub>2</sub> and (idle) amusement<sub>2</sub>" (Radloff & Malov 1913–1917: fol. 101:20)

The corresponding Chinese original is 或因諸戲樂 *huo yin zhu xi le* "or if because of fun and amusement ..." (T. 665, p. 411c19).

Similar to the first word discussed above, a Sogdian origin of the word under discussion can be assumed. In Sogdian Manichaean texts the spellings xyr'k and xyry 'stupid' in Sogdian script are attested (Sims-Williams & Durkin-Meisterernst, 2012: 226a). Older and by now outdated transliterations of occurrences in Buddhist texts are yyr''k (Gharib, 2004: 181a, no. 4532), yyr'k (Gharib 2004, 181a, no. 4534), yyr'y (Gharib, 2004: 181b, no. 4536) and yyry (Gharib, 2004: 181b, no. 4539). But in this dictionary we already find the transcription  $x\bar{r}r\bar{e}/x\bar{e}r\bar{e}$  and the reference to Khotanese  $kh\bar{t}ra$ , Khwarezmian xyr'w and Yaghnobi  $x\bar{t}ra$ . Bailey's (1979: 74b–75a) Khotanese dictionary has the entry  $kh\bar{t}raa$ - 'depressed, sad' and already refers to the Sogdian equivalents as well as to New Persian  $x\bar{t}rah$  'dark, dim, moody'. Similar to the first word discussed above in section 2 we can expect a broader range of meanings for the Iranian side. An indication is the entry  $x\bar{t}ra$  in the Persian-English dictionary by Steingass (1892: 491–492 s.v.  $kh\bar{t}ra$ ). Among the many recorded meanings are, e.g., "malevolent, malignant, quarrelsome, moody, contumacious" but also "dazzled, fatigued; dark, cloudy; causeless, groundless" and "vacant, indolent, idle" etc.

With this etymology in mind we are now in a position to bring the examples quoted above together with the hitherto unexplained Old Uyghur word *he ri* in Brāhmī script in Gabain, 1954: 9 (text A, line 9) which is combined with *yoksuz*. The phrase *yoksoz heri bolmamakındın ärür* corresponds to Sanskrit *avandhyatvāt* "because it is not futile". As a preliminary transcription based on the Brāhmī instance *heri* can be suggested for Old Uyghur. To conclude, both entries in the *Handwörterbuch des Altuigurischen* (Wilkens, 2021: 373a) – i.e. <sup>1</sup>*kırı* and <sup>2</sup>*kırı* – should better appear under the lemma *heri*.

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> The fragment from the Turfan Collection in Berlin U 1526, which runs parallel, has the spelling ÖYRY Y (with line filler) in line verso 12. See plate 35 in Raschmann, 2000 (with a catalogue description of this piece under no. 110).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See also the entry yyr''kwn'y 'foolishness' (Gharib, 2004: 181a, no. 4533).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Ossetic *xæræ* 'dark' (Blažek, 2013: 55) which seems etymologically related at first glance is perhaps rather a loan from Turkic *kara* 'black'. I owe this observation to Nicholas Sims-Williams.

## **Bibliography**

- T. 100 別譯雜阿含經 *Bie yi za a han jing* [Additional translation of the *Saṃyuktāgāma*]. *Taishō Shinshū Daizōkyō* vol. 2 (no. 100).
- T. 665 金光明最勝王經 Jin guang ming zui sheng wang jing [Suvarṇa[pra]bhāsottama-sūtra]. Taishō Shinshū Daizōkyō vol. 16 (no. 665).
- Bailey, H. W. (1979). Dictionary of Khotan Saka. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Benkato, A. (2017). Āzandnāmē. An Edition and Literary-critical Study of the Manichaean Sogdian Parable-Book. Wiesbaden: Dr. Ludwig Reichert.
- Blažek, V. (2013). On Classification of Middle Iranian Languages (Preliminary Report). Linguistica Brunensia, 61(1-2), 49-74.
- Gabain, A. von (1954). Türkische Turfantexte VIII. Texte in Brāhmīschrift. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.
- Gershevitch, I. (1954). A Grammar of Manichean Sogdian. [Reprinted 1961]. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Gharib, B. (2004). Sogdian Dictionary. Sogdian-Persian-English. (2nd edition). Tehran: Farhangan Publications.
- Lurje, P. and Yakubovich, I. (2017). The Myth of Sogdian Lambdacism. In Team "Turfanforschung" (Ed.), *Zur lichten Heimat. Studien zu Manichäismus, Iranistik und Zentralasienkunde im Gedenken an Werner Sundermann* (pp. 319-341.). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Meyer, R. (2017). Iranian-Armenian Language Contact in and before the 5<sup>th</sup> Century CE. An Investigation into Pattern Replication and Societal Multilingualism. (PhD thesis). Wolfson College University of Oxford. Oxford.
- Radloff, W. and Malov, S. (1913-1917). Suvarņaprabhāsa (sutra zolotogo bleska). Tekst ujgurskoj redakcii. Petrograd: Imperatorskaja Akademija Nauk.
- Raschmann, S.-Ch. (2000). Alttürkische Handschriften Teil 5. Berliner Fragmente des Goldglanz-Sūtras. Teil 1: Vorworte und erstes bis drittes Buch. Stuttgart: Franz Steiner.
- Röhrborn, K. (2015). Uigurisches Wörterbuch. Sprachmaterial der vorislamischen türkischen Texte aus Zentralasien Neubearbeitung II. Nomina Pronomina Partikeln. Vol. 1: a asvik. Stuttgart: Steiner.
- Schmitt, R. (1994). Dāta. E. Yarshater (Ed.), Encyclopædia Iranica, VII(1), 114-115.
- Sims-Williams, N. (1985). The Christian Sogdian Manuscript C 2. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Sims-Williams, N. (2009). The Bactrian Fragment in Manichaean Script (M 1224). In D. Durkin-Meisterernst, Ch. Reck and D. Weber (Ed.), *Literarische Stoffe und ihre Gestaltung in mitteliranischer Zeit. Kolloquium anlässlich des 70. Geburtstages von Werner Sundermann* (pp. 245-268.). Wiesbaden: Ludwig Reichert.
- Sims-Williams, N. and Durkin-Meisterernst, D. (2012). *Dictionary of Manichaean Sogdian and Bactrian*. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Sims-Williams, N. and la Vaissière, É. de (2011). A Bactrian Document from Southern Afghanistan? *Bulletin of the Asia Institute*, 25, 39-53.
- Steingass, F. (1892). Persian-English Dictionary Including the Arabic Words and Phrases to be Met with in Persian Literature. Reprint London: Routledge (1998).
- Temir, A., Kudara, K. and Röhrborn, K. (1984). Die alttürkischen Abitaki-Fragmente des Etnografya Müzesi, Ankara. *Turcica: Revue d'études turques, 16*, 13-28.
- Wilkens, J. (2007). Das Buch von der Sündentilgung. Edition des alttürkisch-buddhistischen Kšanti Kılguluk Nom Bitig. 2 Vols. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Wilkens, J. (2021). *Handwörterbuch des Altuigurischen. Altuigurisch Deutsch Türkisch*. Göttingen: Universitätsverlag.
- Yakup, A. (2021). Buddhāvataṃsaka Literature in Old Uyghur. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Yoshida, Y. (2009). Sogdian. In G. Windfuhr (Ed.), *The Iranian Languages* (pp. 279-335.). London: Routledge.

# "USELESS" SEEMS TO BE THE HARDEST WORD TWO SOGDIAN LOANWORDS IN OLD UYGHUR

- Zhang Tieshan (2001). 敦煌莫高窟北区B159窟出土回鹘文《别译杂阿含经》残卷研究 Dunhuang mogaoku beiqu B159 ku chutu huihuwen "bieyi za'ahanjing" canjuan yanjiu. 民族语文 *Minzu yuwen*, 2001/6, 36-55.
- Zhang Tieshan and Zieme, P. (2019). An Old Uigur Version of the *Kasibhāradvāja Sutta* Extended by a Poem. *Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 72(2), 179-206.
- Zieme, P. (1985). *Buddhistische Stabreimdichtungen der Uiguren*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag. Zieme, P. (2019). The Layman İndu and an Old Uighur Poem. *Written Monuments of the Orient*, 5(2), 39-48.